

**RIMAC MARTIN, P.C.**  
ANNA M. MARTIN - CSBN 154279  
MATTHEW A. HICKEY- CSBN 239364  
*amartin@rimacmartin.com*  
*mhickey@rimacmartin.com*  
1051 Divisadero Street  
San Francisco, California 94115  
Telephone: (415) 561-8440  
Facsimile: (415) 561-8430

Attorneys for Defendants  
FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY  
PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,  
UNUM GROUP, INC.

**GOLDSTEIN, GELLMAN,  
MELBOSTAD & HARRIS, LLP**  
LEE S. HARRIS- CSBN 076699  
*LHarris@g3mh.com*  
1388 Sutter Street, Suite 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94109-5494  
Telephone: (415) 673-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 673-5606

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ERIC TERRERI

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

***E-FILING***

ERIK TERRERI,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY  
PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC., UNUM  
GROUP, INC. DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV-10-3867 EMC

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND  
ORDER THEREON**

**Current Date: March 9, 2011**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the plaintiff ERIK TERRERI and defendants FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC., UNUM GROUP, INC. (collectively "Unum"), by and through their counsel of record herein, to a continuance of the Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for March 9, 2011, to March 30, 2011; 1:30 p.m., before Magistrate Judge Edward M. Chen, or on another date convenient for the Court.

Good cause exists for this extension because plaintiff was awarded Social Security Disability Benefits on November 7, 2010 and has requested that Unum re-evaluate his appeal. Unum has agreed to re-evaluate plaintiff's appeal. The parties are seeking to extend the Case Management Conference by an additional three weeks to allow Unum to conduct this re-evaluation. Unum is in the process of finalizing their re-evaluation of this matter. Depending upon the outcome of Unum's re-evaluation, the Case Management Conference may be unnecessary.

A Joint Case Management Conference Statement shall be filed by March 24, 2011, if the Case Management Conference is to be held on March 30, 2011.

Pursuant to local rules, this document is being electronically filed through the Court's ECF System. In this regard, counsel for defendant hereby attests that (1) the content of this document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document; (2) plaintiff's counsel has concurred with the filing of this document; and (3) a record supporting this concurrence is available for inspection or production if so ordered.

**SO STIPULATED.**

**GOLDSTEIN, GELLMAN,  
MELBOSTAD & HARRIS, LLP**

DATED: February 28, 2011


By: /s/ LEE S. HARRIS  
LEE S. HARRIS  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ERIC TERRERI

RIMAC MARTIN, P.C.

DATED: March 2, 2011 By: /s/ ANNA M. MARTIN  
ANNA M. MARTIN  
Attorneys for Defendants  
FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY  
PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,  
UNUM GROUP, INC.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

The Case Management Conference is hereby continued to March 30, 2011 at 1:30 p.m.



The Honorable E. M. Chen  
Judge  
Northern District

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1051 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94115.

On March 2, 2011 I served the document(s) described as **STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ORDER THEREON** in this action addressed as follows:

Lee S. Harris, Esq.  
Goldstein, Gellman, Melbostad & Harris, LLP  
1388 Sutter St., Ste. 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94109-5494  
Telephone: (415) 673-5600  
Fax: (415) 673-5606  
[Lharris@g3mh.com](mailto:Lharris@g3mh.com)  
Representing: PLAINTIFF: ERIK TERRERI

☐ (BY MAIL) True and correct copies of the aforementioned document(s) were deposited, in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day to be mailed via first class mail at San Francisco, California. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

X (TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)) Pursuant to the controlling Rules, the aforementioned document(s) will be served by the court via NEF and proper link(s) to the document(s). On 7/9/10, I checked the appropriate CM/ECF docket for this case or proceeding and determined that the aforementioned person(s) has/have consented to receive NEF transmission at the aforementioned electronic addresses.

☐ (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) On , I transmitted the aforementioned document(s) as PDF attachments to the aforementioned electronic notification address(es). The transmission originated from my electronic notification address, which is , and was reported as complete and without error.

Executed on March 2, 2011 at San Francisco, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true and correct.

/s/ Kim N. Phan

Kim N. Phan